



Winter Bird Species Richness

These EnviroAtlas national maps display winter bird species richness with potential habitat within each 12-digit hydrologic unit ([HUC](#)) in the conterminous United States. These data are based on habitat models—in this case, predicted winter habitat—rather than wildlife counts.

Why are winter bird species important?

The metric, Winter Bird Species Richness, estimates the number of bird species that may inhabit an area based on potential winter habitat. Winter habitat is used by resident species that do not migrate to warmer climates for the winter months as well as species from more northern latitudes that arrive seeking relatively milder winter temperatures and more easily available food sources.

Species richness is one measure of [biodiversity](#) that can represent the relative conservation value of a particular area. Many scientists believe that biodiversity, because it represents all forms of life on earth, provides or supports the core benefits that humans derive from their environment to sustain human society, economy, health, and well-being. Managing for biodiversity is one way to balance competing demands for ecosystem services.¹

Each species plays an important role within its [ecosystem](#), and ecosystems are highly interconnected. Each species depends on others for some aspect of its survival to provide food, habitat, decomposition, pollination, or control of pest species. The removal of even one species from an ecosystem can create a [trophic cascade](#) that can affect the entire [food chain](#).

Bird species are important to humans; they are the focus of many non-profit organizations and [citizen science](#) efforts such as the Breeding Bird Survey and Christmas Bird Count. Ecologically, birds participate in the food chain as predators, herbivores, and insect, nectar, and carrion feeders. Birds help to control insect populations and they also perform other important roles as pollinators and seed dispersers. In conservation biology, they serve as sensitive indicators of landscape disturbance and habitat condition. Bird community indices record birds' responses to disturbance gradients, from minimally-disturbed habitats (e.g., mature forest) to more highly disturbed human-influenced habitats. For example, researchers have found that in the southeastern U.S., forest canopy nesters and foragers, such as pine warbler, Acadian flycatcher, and red-eyed vireo, decline with forest



Photo: Bald eagle, Lisa Hupp, USFWS

fragmentation and conversion while generalist and non-native species increase with similar disturbance.²

Bird-watching contributes to human physical, cultural, and economic well-being. It is estimated that 48 million birdwatchers in the U.S. contributed \$36 billion to the economy from bird-watching activities in 2006.³ The total economic benefit of wildlife watching in 2015 was \$166 billion. Bird diversity can bring tourist dollars to a community and enjoyment to residents.

How can I use this information?

Three EnviroAtlas maps, Mean, Maximum, and Normalized Index of Biodiversity (NIB), illustrate Winter Bird Species Richness for each 12-digit HUC in the conterminous United States.⁴ Used together or independently, these maps can help identify areas of low or high potential winter bird species richness to help inform decisions about resource restoration, use, and conservation. Mean richness is a commonly used and understood value for comparison. NIB provides an index to compare a metric with other metrics across multiple project scales simultaneously. Maximum richness identifies areas that are species rich but may not occupy large areas (e.g. linear riparian areas).

These maps can be used in conjunction with other EnviroAtlas maps such as ecoregions, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) protected areas database ([PAD-US](#)), or the USGS Gap Analysis Project ([GAP](#)) ecological systems to identify areas with high ecological or recreational value for conservation, recreation, or restoration planning.

After learning the bird species richness values for a particular 12-digit HUC, users can investigate an area more intensively by using individual species models available from the GAP Project.

How were the data for this map created?

The USGS GAP project maps the distribution of natural vegetation communities and potential habitat for individual terrestrial vertebrate species. These models use environmental variables (e.g., land cover, elevation, and distance to water) to predict habitat for each species. GAP modeled habitat for bird species that reside, breed, or use the habitat within the conterminous U.S. for a significant portion of their life history. This map is based on a subset of all 621 birds modeled by GAP that spend the winter in the U.S. as measured by predicted species' winter habitat present within a pixel.

The mean and maximum numbers of winter bird species in each 30-meter pixel were calculated for each 12-digit HUC. The mean species richness value by HUC was divided by the maximum mean value within all HUCs to calculate the NIB.

What are the limitations of these data?

EnviroAtlas uses the best data available, but there are still limitations associated with these data. These data, based on models and large national geospatial databases of predicted habitat, are estimations of reality that may overestimate actual bird species presence. Modeled data are intended to complement rather than replace monitoring data. Habitat models do not predict the actual occurrence of species, but rather their potential occurrence based on their known associations with certain habitat types. Habitat is only one factor that determines the actual presence of a species. Other factors include habitat quality, predators, prey, competing species, and fine scale habitat features.

Selected Publications

1. Boykin, K.G., W.G. Kepner, D.F. Bradford, R.K. Guy, D.A. Kopp, A. Leimer, E. Samson, F. East, A. Neale, and K. Gergely. 2013. [A national approach for mapping and quantifying habitat-based biodiversity metrics across multiple spatial scales](#). *Ecological Indicators* 33:139–147.
 2. Canterbury, G.E., T.E. Martin, D.R. Petit, L.J. Petit, and D.F. Bradford. 2000. [Bird communities and habitat as ecological indicators of forest condition in regional monitoring](#). *Conservation Biology* 14: 544–558.
 3. Carver, E. 2009. [Birding in the United States: A demographic and economic analysis](#). Addendum to the 2006 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife-Associated Recreation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, Virginia.
 4. Kepner, W.G., K.G. Boykin, D.F. Bradford, A.C. Neale, A.K. Leimer, and K.J. Gergely. 2013. [Biodiversity metrics fact sheet](#), EPA/600/F-11/006, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Pearce, D., and D. Moran. 1994. *The economic value of biodiversity*. International Union for Conservation of Nature, Taylor and Francis, New York, New York. 104 p.

Other essential species information in addition to species richness includes the types of species and their [functional groups](#), whether they are rare or common, native or non-native, tolerant or intolerant of disturbance.

How can I access these data?

EnviroAtlas data can be viewed in the interactive map, accessed through web services, or downloaded. Individual 30-meter pixel data may be downloaded from the [New Mexico State University Center for Applied Spatial Ecology](#).

Where can I get more information?

A selection of resources related to birds and biodiversity is listed below. Information on the models and data used in the USGS Core Science Analytics, Synthesis & Library's [GAP](#) project is available on their website. For additional information on how the data were created, access the [metadata](#) for the data layer from the layer list drop down menu. To ask specific questions about this data layer, please contact the [EnviroAtlas Team](#).

Acknowledgments

The data for Winter Bird Species Richness were created through a collaborative effort between the EPA and USGS GAP. GAP habitat models were created by New Mexico State University, North Carolina State University, and Boise State University personnel. Kenneth Boykin and graduate students from New Mexico State University generated the biodiversity metrics. The fact sheet was written by Kenneth Boykin (New Mexico State University), William Kepner, Anne Neale, and Jessica Daniel (EPA), Sandra Bryce (Innovate!, Inc.), and Megan Culler (EPA Student Services Contractor).